# CS70 Modular Arithmetic

#### Kelvin Lee

UC Berkeley

February 22, 2021

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

February 22, 2021 1 / 16

3

→ ∃ →

< 17 ▶

### Overview

#### Basic Definitions

- 2 Multiplicative Inverse
- 3 Euclid's Algorithm
- 4 Extended Euclid's algorithm
- 5 Functions
- 6 Bijection
- 7 Fermat's Little Theorem
- 8 Chinese Remainder Theorem

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Definition (Congruence)

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

### Definition (Congruence)

x is **congruent** to y modulo m or  $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$  if and only if any one of the following is true:

< /□ > < ∃

3

### Definition (Congruence)

x is **congruent** to y modulo m or  $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$  if and only if any one of the following is true:

• 
$$(x - y)$$
 is divisible by m

< A > < E

3

### Definition (Congruence)

x is **congruent** to y modulo m or  $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$  if and only if any one of the following is true:

• (x - y) is divisible by m

• x and y have the same remainder w.r.t. m

### Definition (Congruence)

x is **congruent** to y modulo m or  $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$  if and only if any one of the following is true:

• (x - y) is divisible by m

• x and y have the same remainder w.r.t. m

• x = y + km for some integer k

### Definition (Congruence)

x is **congruent** to y modulo m or  $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$  if and only if any one of the following is true:

• (x - y) is divisible by m

• x and y have the same remainder w.r.t. m

• x = y + km for some integer k

• In modulo m, only the numbers  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1\}$  exist.

### Definition (Congruence)

x is **congruent** to y modulo m or  $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$  if and only if any one of the following is true:

• (x - y) is divisible by m

• x and y have the same remainder w.r.t. m

• x = y + km for some integer k

- In modulo m, only the numbers  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1\}$  exist.
- Division is not well-defined.

### Definition (Congruence)

x is **congruent** to y modulo m or  $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$  if and only if any one of the following is true:

• (x - y) is divisible by m

• x and y have the same remainder w.r.t. m

• x = y + km for some integer k

- In modulo m, only the numbers  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1\}$  exist.
- Division is not well-defined.

Definition (Multiplicative Inverse)

### Definition (Congruence)

x is **congruent** to y modulo m or  $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$  if and only if any one of the following is true:

• (x - y) is divisible by m

• x and y have the same remainder w.r.t. m

• x = y + km for some integer k

- In modulo m, only the numbers  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1\}$  exist.
- Division is not well-defined.

#### Definition (Multiplicative Inverse)

Normally we say that the **multiplicative inverse** of x is y if xy = 1.

### Definition (Congruence)

x is **congruent** to y modulo m or  $x \equiv y \pmod{m}$  if and only if any one of the following is true:

• (x - y) is divisible by m

• x and y have the same remainder w.r.t. m

• x = y + km for some integer k

- In modulo m, only the numbers  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1\}$  exist.
- Division is not well-defined.

#### Definition (Multiplicative Inverse)

Normally we say that the **multiplicative inverse** of x is y if xy = 1. In the modular space, the **multiplicative inverse** of x mod m is y if  $xy \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .



Theorem (Modular operations)

э

A D N A B N A B N A B N

#### Theorem (Modular operations)

 $a \equiv c \mod m \text{ and } b \equiv d \mod m \implies a + b \equiv c + d \pmod{m}$  and  $a \cdot b \equiv c \cdot d \pmod{m}$ .

-47 ▶

э

#### Theorem (Modular operations)

 $a \equiv c \mod m \text{ and } b \equiv d \mod m \implies a + b \equiv c + d \pmod{m}$  and  $a \cdot b \equiv c \cdot d \pmod{m}$ .

Theorem (Existence of multiplicative inverse)

3

- ∢ ⊒ →

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

#### Theorem (Modular operations)

 $a \equiv c \mod m \text{ and } b \equiv d \mod m \implies a + b \equiv c + d \pmod{m}$  and  $a \cdot b \equiv c \cdot d \pmod{m}$ .

# Theorem (Existence of multiplicative inverse) $gcd(x,m) = 1 \implies x \text{ has a multiplicative inverse modulo m and it is}$ *unique*.

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

February 22, 2021 5 / 16

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

How do we compute gcd of two numbers x and y?

3. 3

Image: A match a ma

How do we compute gcd of two numbers x and y?

Theorem (Euclid's Algorithm)

3

-

▲ 伊 ▶ ▲ 三

How do we compute gcd of two numbers x and y?

Theorem (Euclid's Algorithm)

Let  $x \ge y > 0$ . Then

 $gcd(x, y) = gcd(y, x \mod y)$ 

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

How do we compute gcd of two numbers x and y?

Theorem (Euclid's Algorithm)

Let  $x \ge y > 0$ . Then

$$gcd(x, y) = gcd(y, x \mod y)$$

Example

How do we compute gcd of two numbers x and y?

Theorem (Euclid's Algorithm)

Let  $x \ge y > 0$ . Then

$$gcd(x, y) = gcd(y, x \mod y)$$

Example

Compute gcd(16,10):

How do we compute gcd of two numbers x and y?

Theorem (Euclid's Algorithm)

Let  $x \ge y > 0$ . Then

$$gcd(x, y) = gcd(y, x \mod y)$$

Example

Compute gcd(16,10):

```
\gcd(16,10)=\gcd(10,6)
```

How do we compute gcd of two numbers x and y?

Theorem (Euclid's Algorithm)

Let  $x \ge y > 0$ . Then

$$gcd(x, y) = gcd(y, x \mod y)$$

Example

Compute gcd(16,10):

gcd(16, 10) = gcd(10, 6)= gcd(6, 4)

How do we compute gcd of two numbers x and y?

Theorem (Euclid's Algorithm)

Let  $x \ge y > 0$ . Then

$$gcd(x, y) = gcd(y, x \mod y)$$

Example

Compute gcd(16,10):

gcd(16, 10) = gcd(10, 6)= gcd(6, 4)= gcd(4, 2)

How do we compute gcd of two numbers x and y?

Theorem (Euclid's Algorithm)

Let  $x \ge y > 0$ . Then

$$gcd(x, y) = gcd(y, x \mod y)$$

Example

Compute gcd(16,10):

gcd(16, 10) = gcd(10, 6)= gcd(6, 4)= gcd(4, 2)= gcd(2, 0)

How do we compute gcd of two numbers x and y?

Theorem (Euclid's Algorithm)

Let  $x \ge y > 0$ . Then

$$gcd(x, y) = gcd(y, x \mod y)$$

Example

Compute gcd(16,10):

gcd(16, 10) = gcd(10, 6)= gcd(6, 4)= gcd(4, 2)= gcd(2, 0)= 2.

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

February 22, 2021 6 / 16

▶ < ∃ >

• • • • • • • • • •

э

How to compute the multiplicative inverse?

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

э

< 17 ▶

How to compute the multiplicative inverse?

• Need an algorithm that returns integers *a* and *b* such that:

gcd(x, y) = ax + by.

A 1

How to compute the multiplicative inverse?

• Need an algorithm that returns integers *a* and *b* such that:

$$gcd(x, y) = ax + by.$$

Theorem (Bézout's Identity)

How to compute the multiplicative inverse?

• Need an algorithm that returns integers *a* and *b* such that:

$$gcd(x, y) = ax + by.$$

#### Theorem (Bézout's Identity)

For nonzero integers x and y, let d be the greatest common divisor such that d = gcd(x, y). Then, there exist integers a and b such that

$$ax + by = d$$
.

How to compute the multiplicative inverse?

• Need an algorithm that returns integers *a* and *b* such that:

$$gcd(x, y) = ax + by.$$

#### Theorem (Bézout's Identity)

For nonzero integers x and y, let d be the greatest common divisor such that d = gcd(x, y). Then, there exist integers a and b such that

$$ax + by = d$$
.

• When gcd(x, y) = 1, we can deduce that b is an inverse of y mod x.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

How to compute the multiplicative inverse?

• Need an algorithm that returns integers *a* and *b* such that:

$$gcd(x, y) = ax + by.$$

#### Theorem (Bézout's Identity)

For nonzero integers x and y, let d be the greatest common divisor such that d = gcd(x, y). Then, there exist integers a and b such that

$$ax + by = d$$
.

• When gcd(x, y) = 1, we can deduce that b is an inverse of y mod x.

• This uses back substitutions repetitively so that the final expression is in terms of x and y.

▲ 同 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶
- 2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Definition (Function)

æ

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Definition (Function)

Let A and B be nonempty sets. A **function** f from A to B is an assignment of exactly one element of B to each element of A. (vertical line test)

#### Definition (Function)

Let A and B be nonempty sets. A **function** f from A to B is an assignment of exactly one element of B to each element of A. (vertical line test)

• To denote such a function, we write  $f : A \rightarrow B$  (f maps A to B).

#### Definition (Function)

Let A and B be nonempty sets. A function f from A to B is an assignment of exactly one element of B to each element of A. (vertical line test)

• To denote such a function, we write  $f : A \rightarrow B$  (f maps A to B).

• A is the **domain** and B is the **co-domain**.

#### Definition (Function)

Let A and B be nonempty sets. A function f from A to B is an assignment of exactly one element of B to each element of A. (vertical line test)

- To denote such a function, we write  $f : A \rightarrow B$  (f maps A to B).
- A is the **domain** and B is the **co-domain**.
- Pre-image is a **subset** of domain, and the image/range is the **subset** of co-domain.

#### Definition (Function)

Let A and B be nonempty sets. A function f from A to B is an assignment of exactly one element of B to each element of A. (vertical line test)

- To denote such a function, we write  $f : A \rightarrow B$  (f maps A to B).
- A is the **domain** and B is the **co-domain**.
- Pre-image is a **subset** of domain, and the image/range is the **subset** of co-domain.
  - If f(a) = b, where a ∈ A and b ∈ B, then we say that b is the image of a and a is the pre-image of b.

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

<ロト <問ト < 目と < 目と

Definition (One-to-one)

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### Definition (One-to-one)

A function f is said to be **one-to-one** if and only if f(a) = f(a') implies that a = a' for all  $a, a' \in A$ . A function is said to be **injective** if it is **one-to-one**.

▲ 同 ▶ → ● ▶

### Definition (One-to-one)

A function f is said to be **one-to-one** if and only if f(a) = f(a') implies that a = a' for all  $a, a' \in A$ . A function is said to be **injective** if it is one-to-one.

• To show that a function is *one-to-one*, we show that  $a \neq a' \implies f(a) \neq f(a')$ . (Why?)

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

### Definition (One-to-one)

A function f is said to be **one-to-one** if and only if f(a) = f(a') implies that a = a' for all  $a, a' \in A$ . A function is said to be **injective** if it is **one-to-one**.

• To show that a function is *one-to-one*, we show that  $a \neq a' \implies f(a) \neq f(a')$ . (Why?)

Definition (Onto)

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

### Definition (One-to-one)

A function f is said to be **one-to-one** if and only if f(a) = f(a') implies that a = a' for all  $a, a' \in A$ . A function is said to be **injective** if it is **one-to-one**.

• To show that a function is *one-to-one*, we show that  $a \neq a' \implies f(a) \neq f(a')$ . (Why?)

### Definition (Onto)

A function f is called **onto**, or a surjection, if and only if for every element  $b \in B$  there is an element  $a \in A$  such that f(a) = b. We also say that f is **surjective** if it's onto.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

### Definition (One-to-one)

A function f is said to be **one-to-one** if and only if f(a) = f(a') implies that a = a' for all  $a, a' \in A$ . A function is said to be **injective** if it is **one-to-one**.

• To show that a function is *one-to-one*, we show that  $a \neq a' \implies f(a) \neq f(a')$ . (Why?)

### Definition (Onto)

A function f is called **onto**, or a surjection, if and only if for every element  $b \in B$  there is an element  $a \in A$  such that f(a) = b. We also say that f is **surjective** if it's onto.

• To show that a function is *onto*, choose  $a = f^{-1}(b)$  and so  $f(f^{-1}(b)) = b$ .

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)



Definition (Bijection)

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

æ

A D N A B N A B N A B N

### Definition (Bijection)

A function f is a **bijection** if and only if it is both *one-to-one* and *onto*. We also say that f is bijective.

47 ▶

### Definition (Bijection)

A function f is a **bijection** if and only if it is both *one-to-one* and *onto*. We also say that f is bijective.

If f : A → B is a bijection, it will have an inverse function (a lemma from notes), and |A| = |B|.

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

February 22, 2021 10 / 16

2

A D N A B N A B N A B N

Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem)

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

э

#### Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem)

For any prime p and any a  $\in \{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$ , we have

3

(4) (日本)

### Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem)

For any prime p and any a  $\in \{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$ , we have

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

3

- 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト

#### Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem)

For any prime p and any a  $\in \{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$ , we have

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

**Proof:** 

- 31

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem)

For any prime p and any a  $\in \{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$ , we have

 $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$ 

#### **Proof:**

• Consider 
$$S = \{1, 2, ..., p - 1\}$$
 and  
 $S' = \{a \mod p, 2a \mod p, ..., (p - 1)a \mod p\}$ 

- 3

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

#### Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem)

For any prime p and any a  $\in \{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$ , we have

 $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$ 

#### Proof:

• Consider 
$$S = \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$$
 and

 $S' = \{a \bmod p, 2a \bmod p, \dots, (p-1)a \bmod p\}.$ 

• They are the same set under mod *p* (different order).

- 3

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

#### Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem)

For any prime p and any a  $\in \{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$ , we have

 $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$ 

#### **Proof:**

• They are the same set under mod *p* (different order).

$$\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} k \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} ka \; (\bmod p)$$

3

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

#### Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem)

For any prime p and any a  $\in \{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$ , we have

 $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$ 

#### **Proof:**

• Consider 
$$S = \{1, 2, ..., p - 1\}$$
 and  
 $S' = \{a \mod p, 2a \mod p, ..., (p - 1)a \mod p\}.$ 

• They are the same set under mod *p* (different order).

$$\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} k \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} ka \; (\bmod p)$$

$$(p-1)! \equiv a^{p-1}(p-1)! \pmod{p} \implies$$

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

#### Theorem (Fermat's Little Theorem)

For any prime p and any a  $\in \{1, 2, ..., p-1\}$ , we have

 $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$ 

#### **Proof:**

• Consider 
$$S = \{1, 2, ..., p - 1\}$$
 and  
 $S' = \{a \mod p, 2a \mod p, ..., (p - 1)a \mod p\}.$ 

• They are the same set under mod *p* (different order).

$$\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} k \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} ka \; (\bmod p)$$

 $(p-1)! \equiv a^{p-1}(p-1)! \pmod{p} \implies a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ 

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

February 22, 2021 11 / 16

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

2

Theorem (Chinese Remainder Theorem)

э

<ロト <問ト < 目と < 目と

Theorem (Chinese Remainder Theorem)

Let  $n_1, n_2, ..., n_k$  be positive integers that are coprime to each other. Then, for any integers  $a_i$ , the system of simultaneous congruences

3

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder Theorem)

Let  $n_1, n_2, ..., n_k$  be positive integers that are coprime to each other. Then, for any integers  $a_i$ , the system of simultaneous congruences

$$x\equiv a_1\pmod{n_1}, x\equiv a_2\pmod{n_2},\ \dots, x\equiv a_k\pmod{n_k}$$

3

A D N A B N A B N A B N

#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder Theorem)

Let  $n_1, n_2, ..., n_k$  be positive integers that are coprime to each other. Then, for any integers  $a_i$ , the system of simultaneous congruences

$$x\equiv a_1\pmod{n_1}, x\equiv a_2\pmod{n_2},\ \dots, x\equiv a_k\pmod{n_k}$$

has a unique solution

$$x = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i b_i\right) \bmod N$$

3

A D N A B N A B N A B N

#### Theorem (Chinese Remainder Theorem)

Let  $n_1, n_2, ..., n_k$  be positive integers that are coprime to each other. Then, for any integers  $a_i$ , the system of simultaneous congruences

$$x\equiv a_1\pmod{n_1}, x\equiv a_2\pmod{n_2},\ \dots, x\equiv a_k\pmod{n_k}$$

has a unique solution

$$x = \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i b_i\right) \bmod N$$

where  $N = \prod_{i=1}^{k} n_i$  and  $b_i = \frac{N}{n_i} \left(\frac{N}{n_i}\right)_{n_i}^{-1}$  where  $\left(\frac{N}{n_i}\right)_{n_i}^{-1}$  denotes the multiplicative inverse (mod  $n_i$ ) of the integer  $\frac{N}{n_i}$ .

3

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

February 22, 2021 12 / 16

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

2

#### Proof:

To see why x is a solution, notice that for each i = 1, 2, ..., k, we have

 $x \equiv a_1y_1z_1 + a_2y_2z_2 + \cdots + a_ky_kz_k \pmod{n_i}$ 

- 20

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

### Proof:

To see why x is a solution, notice that for each i = 1, 2, ..., k, we have

$$x \equiv a_1 y_1 z_1 + a_2 y_2 z_2 + \dots + a_k y_k z_k \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i y_i z_i \pmod{n_i}$$

3

ヨト イヨト

< /⊒> <
### Proof:

To see why x is a solution, notice that for each i = 1, 2, ..., k, we have

$$x \equiv a_1 y_1 z_1 + a_2 y_2 z_2 + \dots + a_k y_k z_k \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i y_i z_i \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}.$$

イロト 不得 トイラト イラト 一日

### Proof:

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

To see why x is a solution, notice that for each i = 1, 2, ..., k, we have

$$x \equiv a_1 y_1 z_1 + a_2 y_2 z_2 + \dots + a_k y_k z_k \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i y_i z_i \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}.$$

• The second line follows since  $y_j \equiv 0 \mod n_i$  for each  $j \neq i$ .

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

### Proof:

To see why x is a solution, notice that for each i = 1, 2, ..., k, we have

$$x \equiv a_1 y_1 z_1 + a_2 y_2 z_2 + \dots + a_k y_k z_k \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i y_i z_i \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}.$$

- The second line follows since  $y_j \equiv 0 \mod n_i$  for each  $j \neq i$ .
- The third line follows since  $y_i z_i \equiv 1 \mod n_i$ .

### Proof:

To see why x is a solution, notice that for each i = 1, 2, ..., k, we have

$$x \equiv a_1 y_1 z_1 + a_2 y_2 z_2 + \dots + a_k y_k z_k \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i y_i z_i \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}.$$

- The second line follows since  $y_j \equiv 0 \mod n_i$  for each  $j \neq i$ .
- The third line follows since  $y_i z_i \equiv 1 \mod n_i$ .

Now, to prove uniqueness, suppose there are two solutions x and y.

### Proof:

To see why x is a solution, notice that for each i = 1, 2, ..., k, we have

$$x \equiv a_1 y_1 z_1 + a_2 y_2 z_2 + \dots + a_k y_k z_k \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i y_i z_i \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}.$$

- The second line follows since  $y_j \equiv 0 \mod n_i$  for each  $j \neq i$ .
- The third line follows since  $y_i z_i \equiv 1 \mod n_i$ .

Now, to prove uniqueness, suppose there are two solutions x and y.

• Then 
$$n_1 | (x - y), n_2 | (x - y), \dots, n_k | (x - y).$$

### Proof:

To see why x is a solution, notice that for each i = 1, 2, ..., k, we have

$x \equiv a_1y_1z_1 + a_2y_2z_2 + \cdots + a_ky_kz_k$	$( \mod n_i )$
$\equiv a_i y_i z_i$	$(\mod n_i)$
$\equiv a_i$	$( \mod n_i )$ .

- The second line follows since  $y_j \equiv 0 \mod n_i$  for each  $j \neq i$ .
- The third line follows since  $y_i z_i \equiv 1 \mod n_i$ .

Now, to prove uniqueness, suppose there are two solutions x and y.

- Then  $n_1 | (x y), n_2 | (x y), \dots, n_k | (x y).$
- Since n<sub>1</sub>, n<sub>2</sub>,..., n<sub>k</sub> are relatively prime, we have that n<sub>1</sub>n<sub>2</sub>...n<sub>k</sub> divides x y, or

### Proof:

To see why x is a solution, notice that for each i = 1, 2, ..., k, we have

$$x \equiv a_1 y_1 z_1 + a_2 y_2 z_2 + \dots + a_k y_k z_k \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i y_i z_i \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}.$$

- The second line follows since  $y_j \equiv 0 \mod n_i$  for each  $j \neq i$ .
- The third line follows since  $y_i z_i \equiv 1 \mod n_i$ .

Now, to prove uniqueness, suppose there are two solutions x and y.

- Then  $n_1 | (x y), n_2 | (x y), \dots, n_k | (x y).$
- Since n<sub>1</sub>, n<sub>2</sub>,..., n<sub>k</sub> are relatively prime, we have that n<sub>1</sub>n<sub>2</sub>...n<sub>k</sub> divides x y, or

$$x \equiv y \pmod{N}.$$

### Proof:

To see why x is a solution, notice that for each i = 1, 2, ..., k, we have

$$x \equiv a_1 y_1 z_1 + a_2 y_2 z_2 + \dots + a_k y_k z_k \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i y_i z_i \pmod{n_i}$$
$$\equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}.$$

- The second line follows since  $y_j \equiv 0 \mod n_i$  for each  $j \neq i$ .
- The third line follows since  $y_i z_i \equiv 1 \mod n_i$ .

Now, to prove uniqueness, suppose there are two solutions x and y.

- Then  $n_1 | (x y), n_2 | (x y), \dots, n_k | (x y).$
- Since n<sub>1</sub>, n<sub>2</sub>,..., n<sub>k</sub> are relatively prime, we have that n<sub>1</sub>n<sub>2</sub>...n<sub>k</sub> divides x y, or

$$x \equiv y \pmod{N}.$$

**General construction:** 

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

э

#### **General construction:**

• Compute  $N = n_1 \times n_2 \times \cdots \times n_k$ .

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

#### **General construction:**

**1** Compute 
$$N = n_1 \times n_2 \times \cdots \times n_k$$
.

**2** For each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ , compute

$$y_i = \frac{N}{n_i} = n_1 n_2 \cdots n_{i-1} n_{i+1} \cdots n_k.$$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

э

#### **General construction:**

**Oracle Set 1** Compute 
$$N = n_1 \times n_2 \times \cdots \times n_k$$
.

**2** For each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ , compute

$$y_i = \frac{N}{n_i} = n_1 n_2 \cdots n_{i-1} n_{i+1} \cdots n_k.$$

• For each i = 1, 2, ..., k, compute  $z_i \equiv y_i^{-1} \mod n_i$  ( $z_i$  exists since  $n_1, n_2, ..., n_k$  are pairwise coprime).

- 小田 ト イヨト 一日

#### **General construction:**

• Compute 
$$N = n_1 \times n_2 \times \cdots \times n_k$$
.

**2** For each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ , compute

$$y_i=\frac{N}{n_i}=n_1n_2\cdots n_{i-1}n_{i+1}\cdots n_k.$$

For each i = 1, 2, ..., k, compute z<sub>i</sub> ≡ y<sub>i</sub><sup>-1</sup> mod n<sub>i</sub> (z<sub>i</sub> exists since n<sub>1</sub>, n<sub>2</sub>, ..., n<sub>k</sub> are pairwise coprime).

Compute

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i y_i z_i$$

and  $x \mod N$  is the unique solution modulo N.

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

- 34

Intuitive way to solve for CRT:

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

February 22, 2021 14 / 16

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

э

#### Intuitive way to solve for CRT:

**1** Begin with the congruence with the largest modulus,  $x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k}$ .

< 47 ▶

#### Intuitive way to solve for CRT:

- **O** Begin with the congruence with the largest modulus,  $x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k}$ .
- Re-write this modulus as an equation, x = j<sub>k</sub>n<sub>k</sub> + a<sub>k</sub>, for some positive integer j<sub>k</sub>.

#### Intuitive way to solve for CRT:

- **O** Begin with the congruence with the largest modulus,  $x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k}$ .
- Re-write this modulus as an equation, x = j<sub>k</sub>n<sub>k</sub> + a<sub>k</sub>, for some positive integer j<sub>k</sub>.
- Substitute the expression for x into the congruence with the next largest modulus,  $x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k} \Longrightarrow j_k n_k + a_k \equiv a_{k-1} \pmod{n_{k-1}}$ .

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

#### Intuitive way to solve for CRT:

- **9** Begin with the congruence with the largest modulus,  $x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k}$ .
- Re-write this modulus as an equation, x = j<sub>k</sub>n<sub>k</sub> + a<sub>k</sub>, for some positive integer j<sub>k</sub>.
- Substitute the expression for x into the congruence with the next largest modulus,  $x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k} \Longrightarrow j_k n_k + a_k \equiv a_{k-1} \pmod{n_{k-1}}$ .
- Solve this congruence for  $j_k$ .

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

#### Intuitive way to solve for CRT:

- **9** Begin with the congruence with the largest modulus,  $x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k}$ .
- Re-write this modulus as an equation, x = j<sub>k</sub>n<sub>k</sub> + a<sub>k</sub>, for some positive integer j<sub>k</sub>.
- Substitute the expression for x into the congruence with the next largest modulus,  $x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k} \Longrightarrow j_k n_k + a_k \equiv a_{k-1} \pmod{n_{k-1}}$ .
- Solve this congruence for  $j_k$ .
- Write the solved congruence as an equation, and then substitute this expression for j<sub>k</sub> into the equation for x.

#### Intuitive way to solve for CRT:

- **9** Begin with the congruence with the largest modulus,  $x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k}$ .
- Re-write this modulus as an equation, x = j<sub>k</sub>n<sub>k</sub> + a<sub>k</sub>, for some positive integer j<sub>k</sub>.
- Substitute the expression for x into the congruence with the next largest modulus,  $x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k} \Longrightarrow j_k n_k + a_k \equiv a_{k-1} \pmod{n_{k-1}}$ .
- Solve this congruence for  $j_k$ .
- Write the solved congruence as an equation, and then substitute this expression for j<sub>k</sub> into the equation for x.
- Continue substituting and solving congruences until the equation for x implies the solution to the system of congruences.

### Example

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### Example

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ x \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \\ x \equiv 6 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### Example

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ x \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \\ x \equiv 6 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

#### Solution:

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

### Example

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ x \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \\ x \equiv 6 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

#### Solution:

• Start with mod 7. Write x = 7k + 6.

3

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

### Example

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ x \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \\ x \equiv 6 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

#### Solution:

- Start with mod 7. Write x = 7k + 6.
- Then we have  $7k + 6 \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \implies k \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ .

3

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

#### Example

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ x \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \\ x \equiv 6 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

#### Solution:

- Start with mod 7. Write x = 7k + 6.
- Then we have  $7k + 6 \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \implies k \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ .
- Then solving for k gives 5j + 4.

3

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

#### Example

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ x \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \\ x \equiv 6 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

#### Solution:

- Start with mod 7. Write x = 7k + 6.
- Then we have  $7k + 6 \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \implies k \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ .
- Then solving for k gives 5j + 4.
- Now we have x = 7k + 6 = 7(5j + 4) + 6 = 35j + 34.

- 20

- 4 回 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト

#### Example

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ x \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \\ x \equiv 6 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

#### Solution:

- Start with mod 7. Write x = 7k + 6.
- Then we have  $7k + 6 \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \implies k \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ .
- Then solving for k gives 5j + 4.
- Now we have x = 7k + 6 = 7(5j + 4) + 6 = 35j + 34.
- Then  $35j + 34 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \implies j \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \implies j = 3t$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Example

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ x \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \\ x \equiv 6 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

#### Solution:

- Start with mod 7. Write x = 7k + 6.
- Then we have  $7k + 6 \equiv 4 \pmod{5} \implies k \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ .
- Then solving for k gives 5j + 4.
- Now we have x = 7k + 6 = 7(5j + 4) + 6 = 35j + 34.
- Then  $35j + 34 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \implies j \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \implies j = 3t$ .
- Finally, we have  $x = 35(3t) + 34 = 105t + 34 \implies x \equiv \boxed{34}$ (mod 105).

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

# **Problem Time!**

э

A D N A B N A B N A B N