CS70 Discrete Probability II

Kelvin Lee

UC Berkeley

March 30, 2021

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

■トイヨト ヨ つへへ March 30, 2021 1/14 Overview

Conditional Probability

2 Bayes' Rule

3 Law of Total Probability

Independence 4



∃ →

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

March 30, 2021 3 / 14

→ ∃ →

э

• • • • • • • • • •

• Given that event *B* happened, we are interested in finding the probability of event *A*, which is $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$.

→ ∢ ∃

- Given that event *B* happened, we are interested in finding the probability of event *A*, which is $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$.
- Since *B* is guaranteed to happen, we restrict our focus to the sample points in *B* instead of Ω.

- Given that event *B* happened, we are interested in finding the probability of event *A*, which is $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$.
- Since *B* is guaranteed to happen, we restrict our focus to the sample points in *B* instead of Ω.
- Then we know that

- Given that event *B* happened, we are interested in finding the probability of event *A*, which is $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$.
- Since B is guaranteed to happen, we restrict our focus to the sample points in B instead of Ω.
- Then we know that

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \sum_{\omega \in B} \mathbb{P}(\omega),$$

- Given that event *B* happened, we are interested in finding the probability of event *A*, which is $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$.
- Since B is guaranteed to happen, we restrict our focus to the sample points in B instead of Ω.
- Then we know that

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \sum_{\omega \in B} \mathbb{P}(\omega),$$

which is less than 1 in general.

- Given that event *B* happened, we are interested in finding the probability of event *A*, which is $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$.
- Since B is guaranteed to happen, we restrict our focus to the sample points in B instead of Ω.
- Then we know that

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \sum_{\omega \in B} \mathbb{P}(\omega),$$

which is less than 1 in general.

To get the correct normalization, we scale the probability of each ω by ¹/_{P(B)} and so

- Given that event *B* happened, we are interested in finding the probability of event *A*, which is $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$.
- Since B is guaranteed to happen, we restrict our focus to the sample points in B instead of Ω.
- Then we know that

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \sum_{\omega \in B} \mathbb{P}(\omega),$$

which is less than 1 in general.

To get the correct normalization, we scale the probability of each ω by ¹/_{P(B)} and so

$$\mathbb{P}(\omega|B) = rac{\mathbb{P}(\omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}.$$

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

March 30, 2021 4 / 14

→ ∃ →

э

• • • • • • • • • •

• Now we are ready to compute $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$:

-

< /□ > < Ξ

• Now we are ready to compute $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$:

$$\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \mathbb{P}(\omega \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}.$$

э

-

< /□ > < Ξ

• Now we are ready to compute $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$:

$$\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \mathbb{P}(\omega \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}.$$

 For events A, B ⊆ Ω in the same probability space such that P(B) > 0, the conditional probability of A given B is

• Now we are ready to compute $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$:

$$\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \mathbb{P}(\omega \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}.$$

 For events A, B ⊆ Ω in the same probability space such that P(B) > 0, the conditional probability of A given B is

$$\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = rac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

• Now we are ready to compute $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$:

$$\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \mathbb{P}(\omega \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}.$$

 For events A, B ⊆ Ω in the same probability space such that P(B) > 0, the conditional probability of A given B is

$$\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = rac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

• Chain Rule.

• Now we are ready to compute $\mathbb{P}(A|B)$:

$$\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \mathbb{P}(\omega \mid B) = \sum_{\omega \in A \cap B} \frac{\mathbb{P}(\omega)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

 For events A, B ⊆ Ω in the same probability space such that P(B) > 0, the conditional probability of A given B is

$$\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = rac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

• Chain Rule.

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A \mid B) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B).$$



<ロト <問ト < 目と < 目と



How do we compute $\mathbb{P}(A \mid B)$ given $\mathbb{P}(B \mid A)$?

A D N A B N A B N A B N



How do we compute $\mathbb{P}(A \mid B)$ given $\mathbb{P}(B \mid A)$?

• Using the definition and chain rule, we have

▲ 伊 → ▲ 三

How do we compute $\mathbb{P}(A \mid B)$ given $\mathbb{P}(B \mid A)$?

• Using the definition and chain rule, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = rac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = rac{\mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A)}{\mathbb{P}(B)},$$

▲ 伊 → ▲ 三

How do we compute $\mathbb{P}(A \mid B)$ given $\mathbb{P}(B \mid A)$?

• Using the definition and chain rule, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = rac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = rac{\mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A)}{\mathbb{P}(B)},$$

which is the **Bayes' Rule**.

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

March 30, 2021 6 / 14

< ∃⇒

э

• • • • • • • • • •

Usually we are not given what $\mathbb{P}(B)$ is, so we need to figure out $\mathbb{P}(B)$ based on our current information.

▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶

Usually we are not given what $\mathbb{P}(B)$ is, so we need to figure out $\mathbb{P}(B)$ based on our current information.

Observe that

→ < ∃ →</p>

Usually we are not given what $\mathbb{P}(B)$ is, so we need to figure out $\mathbb{P}(B)$ based on our current information.

Observe that

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) + \mathbb{P}(\overline{A} \cap B),$$

→ < ∃ →</p>

Usually we are not given what $\mathbb{P}(B)$ is, so we need to figure out $\mathbb{P}(B)$ based on our current information.

Observe that

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) + \mathbb{P}(\overline{A} \cap B),$$

which is equivalent to

Usually we are not given what $\mathbb{P}(B)$ is, so we need to figure out $\mathbb{P}(B)$ based on our current information.

Observe that

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) + \mathbb{P}(\overline{A} \cap B),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B \mid \overline{A})\mathbb{P}(\overline{A}).$$

Usually we are not given what $\mathbb{P}(B)$ is, so we need to figure out $\mathbb{P}(B)$ based on our current information.

Observe that

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{P}(A \cap B) + \mathbb{P}(\overline{A} \cap B),$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B \mid \overline{A})\mathbb{P}(\overline{A}).$$

This is exactly the **Law of Total Probability**, which is a very important law in probability theory.



<ロト <問ト < 目と < 目と



Now putting back together what we have currently, we have

- ∢ ⊒ →

Now putting back together what we have currently, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = rac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

- ∢ ⊒ →

Now putting back together what we have currently, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$
$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

→ ∃ →

Now putting back together what we have currently, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$
$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$
$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A)}{\mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B \mid \overline{A}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(\overline{A})}$$

э

4 E b

< 個 > < ∃

Now putting back together what we have currently, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A)}{\mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B \mid \overline{A}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(\overline{A})}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B \mid \overline{A}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A)}{\mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(A) + \mathbb{P}(B \mid \overline{A}) \cdot (1 - \mathbb{P}(A))}.$$

4 E b

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

э 8/14 March 30, 2021

< ∃⇒

• • • • • • • • • •
Let's now consider **Bayes' Rule** and the **Law of Total Probability** in a more general context. First, let's define a **partition** of an event as follows:

Let's now consider **Bayes' Rule** and the **Law of Total Probability** in a more general context. First, let's define a **partition** of an event as follows:

• (Partition of an event). We say that an event A is partitioned into n events A_1, \ldots, A_n if

Let's now consider **Bayes' Rule** and the **Law of Total Probability** in a more general context. First, let's define a **partition** of an event as follows:

• (Partition of an event). We say that an event A is partitioned into n events A_1, \ldots, A_n if

$$\blacktriangleright A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n,$$

Let's now consider **Bayes' Rule** and the **Law of Total Probability** in a more general context. First, let's define a **partition** of an event as follows:

• (Partition of an event). We say that an event A is partitioned into n events A_1, \ldots, A_n if

$$\bullet \ A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n,$$

• $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ (i.e., A_1, \ldots, A_n are mutually exclusive).

Let's now consider **Bayes' Rule** and the **Law of Total Probability** in a more general context. First, let's define a **partition** of an event as follows:

• (Partition of an event). We say that an event A is partitioned into n events A_1, \ldots, A_n if

$$\bullet \ A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n,$$

• $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ (i.e., A_1, \ldots, A_n are mutually exclusive).

In simpler terms, each outcome in A belongs to exactly one of the events A_1, \ldots, A_n .

A (1) < A (1) < A (1) </p>

Let's now consider **Bayes' Rule** and the **Law of Total Probability** in a more general context. First, let's define a **partition** of an event as follows:

- (Partition of an event). We say that an event A is partitioned into n events A_1, \ldots, A_n if
 - $\bullet \ A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n,$
 - $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ (i.e., A_1, \ldots, A_n are mutually exclusive).

In simpler terms, each outcome in A belongs to exactly one of the events A_1, \ldots, A_n .

 Now, let A₁,..., A_n be a partition of the sample space Ω. Then, the Law of Total Probability for any event B is

くぼう くほう くほう しゅ

Let's now consider **Bayes' Rule** and the **Law of Total Probability** in a more general context. First, let's define a **partition** of an event as follows:

- (Partition of an event). We say that an event A is partitioned into n events A_1, \ldots, A_n if
 - $\bullet \ A = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n,$
 - $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ (i.e., A_1, \ldots, A_n are mutually exclusive).

In simpler terms, each outcome in A belongs to exactly one of the events A_1, \ldots, A_n .

 Now, let A₁,..., A_n be a partition of the sample space Ω. Then, the Law of Total Probability for any event B is

$$\mathbb{P}(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(B \cap A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(B \mid A_i) P(A_i).$$

くぼう くほう くほう しゅ



<ロト < 四ト < 三ト < 三ト



Similarly, with the general version of Law of Total Probability, the general version of Bayes' Rule, assuming $\mathbb{P}(B) \neq 0$, is given by

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Bayes' Rule

Similarly, with the general version of Law of Total Probability, the general version of Bayes' Rule, assuming $\mathbb{P}(B) \neq 0$, is given by

$$P(A_i \mid B) = \frac{P(B \mid A_i) P(A_i)}{\mathbb{P}(B)} = \frac{P(B \mid A_i) P(A_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} P(B \mid A_j) P(A_j)}.$$

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

March 30, 2021 10 / 14

3

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Independence.

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

2

A D N A B N A B N A B N

Independence.

Event A and B are **independent** if and only if

э

-

< (17) × <

Independence.

Event A and B are **independent** if and only if

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$$
 or $\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$.

э

-

< (17) × <

Independence.

Event A and B are **independent** if and only if

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$$
 or $\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$.

The probability of A is not affected by whether or not B occurs.

Independence.

Event A and B are **independent** if and only if

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$$
 or $\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$.

The probability of A is not affected by whether or not B occurs. Mutual Independence.

Independence.

Event A and B are **independent** if and only if

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$$
 or $\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$.

The probability of A is not affected by whether or not B occurs. Mutual Independence.

Events A_1, \ldots, A_n are said to be **mutually independent** if for every subset $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with size $|I| \ge 2$,

Independence.

Event A and B are **independent** if and only if

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$$
 or $\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$.

The probability of A is not affected by whether or not B occurs. Mutual Independence.

Events A_1, \ldots, A_n are said to be **mutually independent** if for every subset $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with size $|I| \ge 2$,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right)=\prod_{i\in I}\mathbb{P}(A_i).$$

Independence.

Event A and B are **independent** if and only if

$$\mathbb{P}(A \cap B) = \mathbb{P}(A) \cdot \mathbb{P}(B)$$
 or $\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = \mathbb{P}(A)$.

The probability of A is not affected by whether or not B occurs. Mutual Independence.

Events A_1, \ldots, A_n are said to be **mutually independent** if for every subset $I \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ with size $|I| \ge 2$,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i\in I}A_i\right)=\prod_{i\in I}\mathbb{P}(A_i).$$

Pairwise independence does not imply mutual independence!

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

March 30, 2021 11 / 14

3

<ロト < 四ト < 三ト < 三ト

Finding the probability of the intersection of mutually independent events is easy, but what if they are not mutually independent?

Finding the probability of the intersection of mutually independent events is easy, but what if they are not mutually independent?

• We use the **chain rule** again but here's the generalized version.

Finding the probability of the intersection of mutually independent events is easy, but what if they are not mutually independent?

• We use the **chain rule** again but here's the generalized version.

Chain Rule.

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Finding the probability of the intersection of mutually independent events is easy, but what if they are not mutually independent?

• We use the **chain rule** again but here's the generalized version.

Chain Rule. For any events A_1, \ldots, A_n ,

Finding the probability of the intersection of mutually independent events is easy, but what if they are not mutually independent?

• We use the **chain rule** again but here's the generalized version.

Chain Rule. For any events A_1, \ldots, A_n ,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}\right)=P\left(A_{1}\right)\cdot P\left(A_{2}\mid A_{1}\right)\cdot P\left(A_{3}\mid A_{1}\cap A_{2}\right)\cdot\cdots\cdot P\left(A_{n}\left|\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1}A_{i}\right)\right)$$

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

March 30, 2021 12 / 14

3

<ロト < 四ト < 三ト < 三ト

Sometimes we are also interested in finding the probability of the union of several events.

3

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Sometimes we are also interested in finding the probability of the union of several events.

• If the events are mutually exclusive, then

Sometimes we are also interested in finding the probability of the union of several events.

• If the events are mutually exclusive, then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}(A_{i}).$$

Sometimes we are also interested in finding the probability of the union of several events.

• If the events are mutually exclusive, then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}(A_{i}).$$

• Otherwise, we use the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle:

Sometimes we are also interested in finding the probability of the union of several events.

• If the events are mutually exclusive, then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}(A_{i}).$$

Otherwise, we use the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle: let A₁,..., A_n be events in some probability space, where n ≥ 2. Then, we have

Sometimes we are also interested in finding the probability of the union of several events.

• If the events are mutually exclusive, then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}(A_{i}).$$

Otherwise, we use the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle: let A₁,..., A_n be events in some probability space, where n ≥ 2. Then, we have

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{S \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\} : |S|=k} P\left(\bigcap_{i \in S} A_{i}\right)$$

Sometimes we are also interested in finding the probability of the union of several events.

• If the events are mutually exclusive, then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}(A_{i}).$$

Otherwise, we use the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle: let A₁,..., A_n be events in some probability space, where n ≥ 2. Then, we have

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k-1}\sum_{S\subseteq\{1,\ldots,n\}:|S|=k}P\left(\bigcap_{i\in S}A_{i}\right)$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{n}P\left(A_{i}\right)-\sum_{i< j}P\left(A_{i}\cap A_{j}\right)+\ldots+(-1)^{n-1}P\left(A_{1}\cap A_{2}\cap\cdots\cap A_{n}\right).$$

Union Bound

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

March 30, 2021 13 / 14

3

<ロト < 四ト < 三ト < 三ト

Union Bound

Sometimes we are also interested in the upper bound of the probability of the union of several events.

3

4 E b

Image: A match a ma

Union Bound

Sometimes we are also interested in the upper bound of the probability of the union of several events.

• We have the Union Bound:

▲ 四 ▶

э
Union Bound

Sometimes we are also interested in the upper bound of the probability of the union of several events.

• We have the **Union Bound**: let A_1, \ldots, A_n be events in some probability space. Then

Union Bound

Sometimes we are also interested in the upper bound of the probability of the union of several events.

• We have the **Union Bound**: let A_1, \ldots, A_n be events in some probability space. Then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}\right)\leq\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}(A_{i}).$$

・ 何 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Union Bound

Sometimes we are also interested in the upper bound of the probability of the union of several events.

• We have the **Union Bound**: let A_1, \ldots, A_n be events in some probability space. Then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}\right)\leq\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{P}(A_{i}).$$

Summing up all $\mathbb{P}(A_i)$ only overestimate the probability of the union (equality holds when they are disjoint).

Problem Time!

Kelvin Lee (UC Berkeley)

Discrete Math and Probability Theory

March 30, 2021 14 / 14

э

A D N A B N A B N A B N